

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,512	05/24/2001	Emilio Ramon Goitiandia	P/189-151	2441
2352	7590 06/26/2003			
	NK FABER GERB &	EXAMINER		
	UE OF THE AMERICAS ., NY 100368403	S	NGUYEN, SON T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Option Action Summary Option Action No. Option Action Summary Option				A			
Examiner - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the processor of 37 CPR 1.30(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply a evaluation under the processor of 37 CPR 1.30(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply a evaluation under the processor of 37 CPR 1.30(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply a evaluation under the processor of 37 CPR 1.30(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply a evaluation to the state that the processor of 37 CPR 1.30(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply a pecified above, the maximum databoury period will apply and vite events SX (b) MONTH's from the mailing date of the communication, even of timely filled, may reduce any reply the timely filled, may reduce any reply the timely filled, may reduce any reply the timely filled, may reduce any reply to the period of the period of the period of the communication, even of timely filled, may reduce any reply the timely filled, may reduce any reply to the period of the perio		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Son T. Nguyen 3643		09/864,512	GOITIANDIA, EMI	GOITIANDIA, EMILIO RAMON			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c_ver sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancios of tem may be availated under the provisions of JCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be briefy filled **Bird Secretary of the may be availated under the provisions of JCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be briefy filled **Bird Secretary of the provision of the provision of JCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be briefy filled **Bird Secretary of the provision of the provision of JCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be briefy filled **Bird Secretary of the provision of the provision of JCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be briefy filled **Bird Secretary of the provision of the provi	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of lines rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Extensions of lines rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Extensions of lines rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed If the product for reply is event above, the maximum distultory pained will apply and vell expire SIX (b) MONTES from his mailing date of this communication. If the product of the reply is specified above, the maximum distultory pained will apply and vell expire SIX (b) MONTES from his mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search plants from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a righty be timely filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. It also good to make you within the selection of the communication. It also good for may be send above, the maximum adatory period with pays and wile longs its (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Falux be reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the firthe cite then there morting after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 May 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2c) This action is FINAL. 2c) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2c) This action is fill the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) The above claim(s) is large repetited. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 8) The above claim(s) is is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 8) The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2001 is/are: all action requirement. Application Papers Application is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2001 is/are: all action for all side of t		ears on the c ver sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parle Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>i.</i> ommunication.			
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) \(Claim(s) \) \(1-10 \) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \(\) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) \(Claim(s) \) \(\) is/are allowed. 6) \(Claim(s) \) \(\) is/are rejected. 7) \(Claim(s) \) \(\) is/are objected to. 8) \(Claim(s) \) \(\) is/are objected to. 8) \(Claim(s) \) \(\) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) \(\) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) \(\) The drawing(s) filed on \(24 \) May \(2001 \) is/are: a) \(\) accepted or b\(\) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) \(\) The proposed drawing correction filed on \(\) is: a) \(\) approved b\(\) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) \(\) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\) \$119 and 120 13) \(\) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\) 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) \(\) All \(\) D\(\) Some * c) \(\) None of: 1. \(\) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \(\) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \(\) \(\) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) \(\) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\) \$119(e) (to a provisional application). a) \(\) The translation of the foreign language provisional appli	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 h	<i>May 2003</i> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24							
6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		wn from consideration.					
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, — , , <u>— —</u>						
9		r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·· _	r.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,		ed to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <u> </u>						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/864,512

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3-6,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piltz et al. (US 4,056,221) in view of Anderson et al. (US 6,234,944B1).

For claim 1, Piltz et al. disclose a laminated package or box that is capable of being use as a cat litter box comprising a template of a rigid material base 10 having opposite surfaces and an impermeable laminar covering of plastic material 11,12 extending over the surfaces of the base; the base being shaped to define a bottom 1 with side edges (at fold lines 7) and folded up side walls 3-5 attached to the side edges of the bottom, the base including fold lines 7 at which is folded to define the side walls, the side walls having outer edges (edges running parallel to ref. 7, such as from ref. 6 to ref. 6 on the right and left side of fig. 1) opposite the fold lines; the laminar covering comprising flexible sheets (refs. 11,12 are transparent plastic laminar films which are flexible sheets and are impermeable to liquid) extending over the surfaces of the base (see figs. 5 & 6), the sheets having length and width dimensions greater than the base and marginal regions that project beyond edges of the side walls (as shown in figs. 5,6) when the side walls are not folded up (see fig. 4), the marginal regions overlap and the being joined together enclosing the base in a close-fitting manner (see figs. 5,6,8-10),

Art Unit: 3643

wherein the sheets are not adhered to the base (col. 2, lines 43-50); the base and side walls being so shaped that before folding up the side walls, there are spaces 8 between adjacent side walls, the sheets extending over the spaces (as shown in fig. 4, near refs. 17,8) such that when the side walls are folded up on the respective fold lines 7, between each two adjacent walls there is a zone of the laminated sheets (as shown in figs. 8-10) which is folded for defining closure flaps 8a,8a',8a" to hold the folded up side walls. However, Piltz et al. are silent about the sheets are being joined together by heatwelding along the edges because it appears that the edges of the sheets 11,12 are joined by binders 14,15 (see fig. 5). Heat welding to seal two layers of material at their edges is a notoriously well known process in lamination. For example, Anderson et al. teach in fig. 3 two flexible sheets 18,30 being heat welded at their marginal regions to secure a pad therein (col. 4, lines 6-7). Therefore, it would have been an obvious substitution of functional equivalent to substitute the binder method of Piltz et al. with heat-welding method of Anderson et al., since it would perform the same function; i.e. to connect two film sheets together. In addition, heat welding is a notoriously well known process to join two elements together; therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the heat welding process as taught by Anderson et al. to join the two flexible sheets of Piltz et al. at their edges, since it is a notoriously well known process to join two elements together.

For claim 3, Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. (emphasis on Piltz et al.) further disclose that the base is made out of rigid cardboard (col. 1, lines 6-12).

Art Unit: 3643

For claim 4, similar to the above explanation, Piltz et al. disclose a base 10 including a rectangular bottom 1 with four sides 2-5 (col. 3, lines 1-3, Piltz state that the assembly as a whole 16 can be rectangular which means that the base and its four sides and the laminating sheets are rectangle), the base having a respective rectangular panel at each of the four sides, each panel being joined at a respective fold line 7 with the respective side of the base such that when the panels are folded up around the fold lines, a box shape is formed (as shown in fig. 7), each of the panels being separated from adjacent ones by an open space 8 which is reduced and closed when the panels are folded up around the fold lines, the base having opposite surfaces; an impermeable laminar cover comprising two sheets 11,12 extending over both surfaces of the base and are not adhered to the base (see figs. 5,6 and col. 2, lines 43-50), the sheets having a greater length and width dimension than the base and having peripheral margins which extend beyond the panels and are joined together defining a rectangular shape (see fig. 4 and col. 3,lines 1-3 for rectangular shape), the sheets having zones (fig. 4, the zones are near refs. 8,17) extending over the spaces between adjacent panels and being so shaped that when the panels are folded up, closing the spaces between adjacent panels, the zones of laminar covering that were over the spaces are folded to form a respective closure flap 8a,8a',8a" between the adjacent walls (see figs. 8-10). However, Piltz et al. are silent about the peripheral margins being joined by heat-welding. Heat welding to seal two layers of material is a notoriously well known process for joining two elements. For example, Anderson et al. teach in fig. 3 two flexible sheets 18,30 being heat welded at their marginal regions to secure a pad

Art Unit: 3643

therein (col. 4, lines 6-7). Therefore, it would have been an obvious substitution of functional equivalent to substitute the binder method of Piltz et al. with heat-welding method of Anderson et al., since it would perform the same function; i.e. to connect two film sheets together. In addition, heat welding is a notoriously well known process to join two elements together; therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the heat welding process as taught by Anderson et al. to join the two flexible sheets of Piltz et al. at their edges, since it is a notoriously well known process to join two elements together.

For claim 5, Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. (emphasis on Piltz et al.) further disclose the laminar covering is of a plastic material (col. 2, lines 29-30 & 63).

For claim 6, Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. (emphasis on Piltz et al.) further disclose that the base is made out of rigid cardboard (col. 1, lines 6-12).

For claim 8, Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. (emphasis on Piltz et al.) further disclose the sheets 11,12 are attached at the marginal edges (figs. 5,6 near refs. 14,15 and figs. 8-10) and along an inner angle line (figs. 4,7 at refs. 17,8) that coincides with the contours of the space between adjacent side walls and also along a diagonal line (fig. 7, right at the corner near refs. 8a,1a) which crosses each space between adjacent side walls, with the attachments defining zones in the sheets that, when the side walls are folded up at the fold lines, define flaps 8a,8a',8a" which are foldable against the adjacent walls to be adhered there (see fig. 7 which shows ref. 8a adhering to an adjacent wall 2a).

Art Unit: 3643

For claim 9, Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. (emphasis on Piltz et al.) further disclose the laminar covering is of a plastic material (col. 2, lines 29-30 & 63).

- 3. Claims 2,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith (US 3,684,155). For both claims, Piltz as modified by Anderson et al. are silent about a double fold line extending transversely across the bottom of the base and across two of the side walls so that the box may be folded over along the double fold line. Smith teaches a plurality of panels 10,10',18',13',18,13 folded along a plurality of fold lines 11',16',16,17 to form a box (as shown in fig. 1), one of the plurality of fold lines is a double fold line 11' extending transversely across a bottom 10,10' of the box and two of side walls 13',13 of the box so that the box can be folded over along the double fold line for compact storage (as shown in fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a double fold line as taught by Smith across the bottom and side walls of the box of Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. in order to allow compact storage of the box.
- 4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. as applied to claims 4,8,9 above, and further in view of Wu et al. (US 5,575,418). Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. (emphasis on Piltz et al.) further disclose the flaps 8a' are folded against the exterior of the walls (see fig. 9 and col. 3, lines 27-31). However, they do not use an adhesive element to hold the flaps onto the walls. Wu et al. teach paperboard package system in which they employ glue 84 to hold corner flap (near ref. 72) of one panel 72 onto another panel 78 to form

Art Unit: 3643

a box. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ glue as taught by Wu et al. as an alternative method to that of the heat sealing to hold the flaps onto the side walls of the box of Piltz et al. as modified by Anderson et al. since glue will be more safe and less costly than using a heat sealing device.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/21/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Piltz et al. do not disclose flexible sheets which enclose the base in a close-fitting manner but not adhere to the base. From figure 5 and col. 2, lines 43-50 of Piltz et al., it is clearly taught that the sheets 11,12 enclose the base 10 but not adhere to the base. Figure 5 shows a close fitting relationship between the sheets 11,12 and the base, and col. 2, lines 43-50 states that the base 10 and the sheets 11,12 are free in relation to each other and the base 10 lies loosely against the sheets 11,12.

Applicant argued that Piltz et al. do not disclose an impermeable laminar cover. The sheets 11,12 of Piltz et al. are made out of polypropylene and/or polyethylene plastic (col. 4, lines 48-50), which plastic is impermeable and often used in packaging to prevent moisture from seeping in.

Applicant argued that the disposable liner bar in Smith does not make obvious the flexible sheets that are not adhered to the base. Smith was not relied

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/864,512

Art Unit: 3643

upon for flexible sheets enclosing a base. As mentioned in the above, Piltz et al. teach the argued limitation.

Applicant argued that Wu et al. do not teach the flexible sheets that are not adhered to the base. Wu et al. were not relied upon for flexible sheets enclosing a base. As mentioned in the above, Piltz et al. teach the argued limitation.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0765. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at (703) 308-2574. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Hon Manye Son T. Nguyen

Patent Examiner, GAU 3643

June 24, 2003